Learning Keypoint Representations for Robot Manipulation







Yuke Zhu IROS 2019







Find an abstract representation that can be shared by a family of objects









6

6

6D Object Pose



lack of details

specific to instance

computational cheap

(relatively) easy to estimate

sparse

Full 3D Model



geometric details

generic to object

computational expensive

difficult to estimate



A broad range of **object**

representations



6D Object Pose



6D pose and 3D model are two ends of a spectrum of point-based representations





sparse

Full 3D Model





35,947 points (vertices)

dense



6D Object Pose



Key idea

lack of details

specific to instance

computational cheap

(relatively) easy to estimate



sparse

A handful of discriminative **3D keypoints** as a compact and effective object representation

3D keypoints

Full 3D Shape



geometric details

generic to object

computational expensive

difficult to acquire





Keypoint Representations



Compact and discriminative [SIFT, Lowe 2004]



Handles occlusions and deformations [KeypointNet, Suwajanakorn et al. 2018]



Robust towards object variations [Zhou et al. 2018]



Informative for robot control [kPAM, Manuelli et al. 2019]

Keypoint Representations



Handles occlusions and deformations [KeypointNet, Suwajanakorn et al. 2018]



Problem: Annotating 3D keypoints is tedious and ambiguous.

Our Solution:



Informative for robot control [kPAM, Manuelli et al. 2019]

6-Pack: Category-level 6D Pose Tracker with Anchor-Based Keypoints

Chen Wang, Roberto Martín-Martín, Danfei Xu, Jun Lv, Cewu Lu, Li Fei-Fei, Silvio Savarese, Yuke Zhu













6D Object Pose Estimation



z' camera coordinate

Χ'

Applications



Activity understanding



Motion planning



Augmented Reality



Related Work



However, model-based 6D tracker assumes known 3D model of the object and fails to generalize to unseen objects.

Traditional methods

- Hinterstoisser et al. ACCV' 12
- Choi & Christensen IROS' 13
- Collet et al. ICRA' 11
- Lepetit et al. TPAMI' 04

More robust against occlusion and illumination changes

Learning-based methods

- DOPE [Tremblay et al. CoRL'18]
- PoseCNN [Xiang et al. RSS'18]
- PoseRBPF [Deng et al. RSS'19]
- DeepIM [Li et al. ECCV' 18]
- DenseFusion [Wang et al. CVPR'19]



Category-Level 6D Pose Estimation



Laptop Category

> Synthetic data with ShapeNetCore** models (90% of the training data)

Real data with 3 objects (10% of the training data)

* Wang et al. 2019, "Normalized Object Coordinate Space for Category-Level 6D Object Pose and Size Estimation" CVPR2019



╋





Category-Level 6D Pose Tracking

6D Pose Estimation



input: image frame

6D Pose Tracking



input: video + initial bbox

per-frame prediction

tracking over time

output: 6D pose

output: 6D pose

Category-Level 6D Pose Tracking

unseen object from training category

6D pose estimation of current frame

$P_t = P_0 [\Delta R | \Delta T]_1 \cdots [\Delta R | \Delta T]_{t-1}$

Previous Frame

Previous Frame

- Challenge: No ground-truth object model
- Idea: Use anchor box as a scaffold each anchor point captures local information

3D anchors around the previous pose

Current Frame

- Challenge: Incorporate temporal information
- Idea: Use motion model to predict the likely position of the object
- Selected
- anchor
- 3D anchors around the next (likely) pose

ation Kely

Current Frame

Challenge: Generate 3D keypoints

Idea: Predict offsets from selected anchor

Selected anchor

Current Frame

compute relative pose w/ matching keypoints

Evaluation Results

			NOCS	ICP	Keypoint	Ours w/o	
			[46]	[50]	Net [41]	temporal	Ours
	bottle	5°5cm	5.5	10.1	5.9	23.7	24.5
		IoU25	48.7	29.9	23.1	92.0	91.1
		R_{err}	25.6	48.0	28.5	15.7	15.6
		T_{err}	14.4	15.7	9.5	4.2	4.0
	bowl	5°5cm	62.2	40.3	16.8	53.0	55.0
		IoU25	99.6	79.7	74.7	100.0	100.0
		R_{err}	4.7	19.0	9.8	5.3	5.2
		T_{err}	1.2	4.7	8.2	1.6	1.7
	camera	5°5cm	0.6	12.6	1.8	8.4	10.1
		IoU25	90.6	53.1	30.9	91.0	87.6
		R_{err}	33.8	80.5	45.2	43.9	35.7
		T_{err}	3.1	12.2	8.5	5.5	5.6
	can	5°5cm	7.1	17.2	4.3	25.0	22.6
		IoU25	77.0	40.5	42.6	89.9	92.6
		R_{err}	16.9	47.1	28.8	12.5	13.9
		T_{err}	4.0	9.4	13.1	5.0	4.8
	laptop	5°5cm	25.5	14.8	49.2	62.4	63.5
		IoU25	94.7	50.9	94.6	97.8	98.1
		R_{err}	8.6	37.7	6.5	4.9	4.7
		T_{err}	2.4	9.2	4.4	2.5	2.5
	mug	5°5cm	0.9	6.2	3.1	22.4	24.1
		IoU25	82.8	27.7	52.0	100.0	95.2
		R_{err}	31.5	56.3	61.2	20.3	21.3
		T_{err}	4.0	9.2	6.7	1.8	2.3
	Overall	5°5cm	17.0	16.9	13.5	32.5	33.3
		IoU25	82.2	47.0	53.0	95.1	94.2
		R_{err}	20.2	48.1	30.0	17.1	16.0
		T_{err}	4.9	10.5	8.4	3.4	3.5
		011					

6-PACK's 6D pose tracking accuracy is still higher than NOCS for more than 12%.

NOCS

Qualitative Evaluation Results

Keypoints generation results (Matchings of each keypoint from different view)

Qualitative results

(Red bounding box refers to pose error larger than 5cm 5°)

Real-time testing results on unseen objects

laptop

Tracker runs at 10 fps on an NVIDIA GTX1070 GPU

bowl

bottle

Robot view

real-time tracking on unseen object

Phile

CHEEZ/IT

113377

Summary

- 3D keypoints are compact object representations for 6D tracking
- End-to-end learning without manual keypoint annotations
- Real-time category-level tracking for robot interaction

Code: github.com/j96w/6PACK

Zengyi Qin, Kuan Fang, Yuke Zhu, Li Fei-Fei, Silvio Savarese

Recognizing tools

Understanding tools

Manipulating tools

hammering

[Fang, Zhu, et al., RSS'18]

Tool manipulation as a two-stage process

- Stage 1: Grasp an object as a tool.
- Stage 2: Use the grasped tool to complete the goal of the task.

[Fang, Zhu, et al., RSS'18]

visual observation

visual observation

> latent feature [Fang, Zhu, et al., RSS'18]

action

• High-dimensionality

• Lack of interpretability

environment keypoints

grasp point ____

environment keypoints

For hammering

- 1. x_t is close to x_f
- 2. Direction of v aligns with z.

$$\max_{p} v^{T} z - \left\| x_{f} - x_{t} \right\|^{2}$$

Solving the optimal pose of object as a QP

function point

grasp point

environment keypoints

action execution

c) manipulation

d) completion

Decoupling perception problem and control problem

Conditional VAE + Discriminator

How do we get supervision?

Self-supervision from interaction

action execution

c) manipulation

d) completion

action execution

Procedural Generation of Tools

Tool Manipulation Tasks

(a) Hammering

(b) Pushing

(c) Reaching

Results: Hammering Task

grasp point *x_g*function point *x_f*effect point *x_e*

Results: Pushing Task

- grasp point x_g
- function point x_f
- effect point x_e

Results: Reaching Task

grasp point *x_g*function point *x_f*effect point *x_e*

Results: Quantitative Evaluation

Keypoints as intermediate representations of tools are effective.

Results: Keypoint Prediction

Simulated tools

Real tools

Composite Task: Multi-stage Tool Use

autonomous execution

Tool Creation: MacGyvering

Improvising tools for inventive problem solving

[Nair, Shrivatsav, Erickson, Chernova RSS'19]

Tool Creation: MacGyvering

Keypoints provides a scaffold for generating tools from object parts.

Hammering with the Created Tool

Hierarchical Planning with Cascade Variational Inference

Fang, Zhu, Garg, Savarese, Fei-Fei. CoRL'19

Hierarchical Planning with Cascade Variational Inference

Move away obstacles

Push target object to the goal

Fang, Zhu, Garg, Savarese, Fei-Fei. CoRL'19

3D Keypoints are compact and effective object representations for manipulation.

- 1. 6-PACK: Keypoints for Category-level 6D Pose Tracking
- 2. **KETO**: Keypoints for Vision-based Tool Manipulation

Supervision can be acquired through object motion and robot interaction.

Open Question

geometric, and physical information of objects and environments.

How to integrate keypoints with other representations to incorporate fine-grained semantic,

